UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:)	Chapter 9
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,)	Case No. 13-53846
Debtor.)))	Hon. Steven W. Rhodes

RESPONSE OF DETROIT RETIREMENT SYSTEMS TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY EXPERT WITNESSES SHOULD NOT BE APPOINTED

The Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit and the General Retirement System of the City of Detroit (collectively, the "Retirement Systems") hereby respond to the Court's Order to Show Cause Why Expert Witnesses Should Not Be Appointed (the "Show Cause Order") [Dkt. No. 3170]. In support hereof, the Retirement Systems state as follows:

1. The Retirement Systems do not object to the concept of the appointment by the Court of one or more expert witnesses as proposed by the Show Cause Order. However, the Retirement Systems have a few comments and concerns regarding this matter as discussed below.

expert candidates. However, to the extent that the Solicitation Order officially encourages parties in this bankruptcy case to procure candidates for the Court's consideration, the Retirement Systems object and submit that the process by which the Court selects and interviews candidates and ultimately selects and appoints experts should be as independent as possible of influence by any parties to this bankruptcy case.

- 8. The concern in this regard is poignantly borne out by the City's Concurrence in the Show Cause Order [Dkt. No. 3328] filed today, in which the City takes the liberty of proposing not only how the Court should engage experts but also *who* it should engage. The City, apparently with no sense of irony, proposes that the Court appoint a certain Professor Edward Glaeser - with whom the City has obviously already had significant contact regarding this case - and that Professor Glaeser then proceed to select a panel of additional experts. Thus, the City has graciously offered its expert to serve as the Court's expert and to serve as a surrogate for the Court in selecting additional experts. The City's proposal makes a mockery of the process by hijacking it completely.
- 9. Not only is the City's proposal antithetical on a procedural level to the concept of appointing an independent Court-appointed expert, there are significant concerns substantively with Professor Glaeser's candidacy. The City makes a point of noting that he is a senior fellow at the Manhattan Institute for Policy

Research. As an initial matter, the Manhattan Institute is a think tank with highly conservative, right-wing political leanings. No expert selected by the Court in this matter should be affiliated with such a pronounced political agenda, regardless of which end of the political spectrum that agenda may represent. Moreover, the City has already previously engaged the Manhattan Institute in connection with this case, to provide services with respect to police department restructuring initiatives. In fact, on the home page of the Manhattan Institute's website is a link to a conference panel discussion from roughly one month ago, featuring a Manhattan Institute fellow, Governor Snyder, and Kevyn Orr. See Exhibit B attached hereto. Thus, there is nothing independent or independently-minded about Professor Glaeser, and his nomination by the Emergency Manager is inappropriate and unacceptable.

10. The City's unabashed effort in its Concurrence to unilaterally establish procedures on behalf of the Court in this matter includes numerous other proposals that are unsupported by applicable federal rules and/or pose practical problems. For example, and without limitation: (i) the City improperly tries to define and limit the scope of the Court expert's testimony; (ii) the City suggests

As evidenced by the deposition testimony of Charles Moore on December 4, 2013, the Manhattan Institute provided services to the City's police department starting in approximately January 2013 and worked closely with the Conway Mackenzie firm. The services were provided under two contracts; the second contract was for a fee of approximately \$500,000 to \$750,000. *See* transcript excerpt, attached hereto as Exhibit A, at pages 31-35.

without basis that the Court expert should not "conduct any independent field research or [] evaluate the credentials, expertise, opinions, or testimony of persons who may be called as witnesses"; (iii) the City proposes that the Court expert(s) file only "preliminary" reports by June 16, 2014 - - more than two weeks after all other expert reports; and (iv) the City proposes without basis that no depositions of the Court expert be permitted (contrary to paragraph 6 of the Court's proposed Appointing Order, defined below) - - just a one-time evidentiary hearing, to be conducted *after* the deadline for parties to file supplemental Plan objections. All of these proposals by the City are objectionable, and the Retirement Systems broadly object to the Concurrence to the extent that it seeks to foreclose a dialogue with all parties in interest on any issues relative to the appointment of one or more experts by the Court.

- 11. Also attached to the Show Cause Order is a proposed *Order*Appointing Expert Witness (the "Appointing Order").
- 12. Paragraph 3 of the Appointing Order provides that "The City and its professionals shall fully and promptly cooperate with the expert witness." The Retirement Systems are concerned that the implication of this paragraph is that the proposed expert witness (or witnesses) should gather information from the Emergency Manager's professionals in particular, and perhaps from no other parties.

- 13. The Retirement Systems submit that any expert witness or witnesses appointed pursuant to the Show Cause Order should be required to solicit relevant information from all parties-in-interest. Moreover, the communications of the expert witness or witnesses with the Emergency Manager's professionals should all be transparent and public, to avoid even the appearance of impropriety or bias.
- 14. Accordingly, the Retirement Systems request that the Court incorporate into the proposed Appointing Order appropriate guidelines and procedures to ensure that the process undertaken by the expert witness or witnesses is entirely arm's-length in nature.
- 15. The Retirement Systems reserve all rights to supplement this objection or file additional objections as information becomes known through discovery or otherwise regarding any proposed expert and as the selection process develops and proceeds.

CLARK HILL PLC

/s/ Robert D. Gordon

Robert D. Gordon (P48627) Shannon L. Deeby (P60242)

151 South Old Woodward Avenue, Suite 200

Birmingham, Michigan 48009

Telephone: (248) 988-5882

rgordon@clarkhill.com

Counsel to the Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit and the General Retirement System of the City of Detroit

Dated: March 31, 2014

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on March 31, 2014, the Response of Detroit Retirement Systems to Order to Show Cause Why Expert Witnesses Should Not Be Appointed was filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

CLARK HILL PLC

/s/ Robert D. Gordon

Robert D. Gordon (P48627)

151 South Old Woodward Avenue, Suite 200

Birmingham, Michigan 48009

Telephone: (248) 988-5882

Facsimile: (248) 988-2502 rgordon@clarkhill.com

Dated: March 31, 2014

Counsel to the Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit and the General Retirement System of the City of Detroit

EXHIBIT A

IN RE: CITY OF DETROIT CHARLES MOORE

December 4, 2013

Prepared for you by



Bingham Farms/Southfield • Grand Rapids
Ann Arbor • Detroit • Flint • Jackson • Lansing • Mt. Clemens • Saginaw

		Page 29		Page 31
1	0	And that's also correct for the five individuals I	1	our work,
2	Q.	just described to the best of your knowledge.	2	BY MR. HACKNEY:
3	Δ	I believe that's correct.	3	Q. Anyone else?
4		And it's also true with respect to Conway MacKenzie to	4	A. There are other outside advisors that we worked with.
5	Q.	the best of your knowledge.	5	Just to name a few of the firms, the Manhattan
6	Λ	To the best of my knowledge, yes.	6	Institute was a police-specific expert that was
7		Prior to this case you had never been tasked with	7	engaged by the Detroit Police Department that we
8	Q.	formulating a blight remediation plan, isn't that	8	interacted with.
9		correct, Mr. Moore?	9	Plante Moran had been engaged in a variety
10	Λ	That's correct.	10	of activities related to the Finance Department.
11		Nor had Conway MacKenzie, correct?	11	Ernst & Young had been performing a variety of
12	-	To the best of my knowledge, yes.	12	financial activities for the City for a while,
13		And nor to the best of your knowledge had any of the	13	certainly Miller Buckfire as the investment banker,
14	Q.		14	essentially Jones Day was involved, we interacted with
15		five individuals I just ticked off in connection with the police department question, isn't that correct?	15	Miller Canfield as counsel.
16		That's correct.	16	There may have been other outside advisors
17			17	as well but those would have been the primary other
ı	Q.	Is it correct to say, Mr. Moore, that Conway MacKenzie	18	advisors that we would have interacted with.
18		started working in earnest on the scope of work	19	O. One that comes to mind is Milliman?
19		described in Exhibit A around the time that its	20	
20		contract was executed with the City?	21	A. Yes, sir.
21		Yes, that would have been January of 2013.	22	Q. Is that one that you worked with? A. Yes.
22	Q.	I know that prior to that time you had been doing some	23	
23		pro bono work with respect to cashiering exercises, is		Q. I know there are, I think there are PR firms and there
24		that correct?	24 25	are a number of consulting firms, I've seen all the
25	Α.	Yes, sir.	25	contracts on the website, have you been able to give
		Page 30		Page 32
1	Q.	But when it came in earnest to performing the services	1	me the material firms that you spent a material amount
2		in this contract, that began sometime in January of	2	of time working with during that time period I
3		2013, correct?	3	identified earlier?
4	A.	Yes.	4	A. I believe that's the complete list, yes.
5	Q.	Do you remember the day that you began? I can tell	5	Q. Can you give me a sense of how many City employees
6		you when the contract was signed	6	Conway MacKenzie interacted with between January and
7	A.	The contract was signed I believe January 9th. The	7	June, the January and June time frame I identified
8		contract was January 9th, and I believe that we would	8	earlier?
9		have begun onsite work within one week of that,	9	A. This would be a very rough estimate, somewhere between
10		perhaps within a few days.	10	50 and a hundred.
11	Q.	So as soon as January 11th you may have been at it for	11	Q. Am I correct that you talked to the heads of the
12		the City of Detroit.	12	departments or enterprise funds that we described
13	A.	Yes.	13	earlier as important as part of performing your work?
14	Q.	I'm going to focus these questions now on the period	14	A. Certainly they would have been included in that group
15		between January 11th and the June 14th proposal to	15	of people.
16		creditors, if I can, so bear that time frame in mind	16	Q. When you referenced the Manhattan Institute, the
17		when I'm asking you these questions.	17	police expert, do you know when they were retained?
18		What individuals outside of Conway	18	A. I don't know.
19		MacKenzie did you rely upon in performing your work	19	Q. Were they already on the site, onsite when you were
20		during that time period?	20	retained?
21		MR. HAMILTON: Object to form.	21	A. Yes.
22		You can answer.	22	Q. They were there before you?
23	A.	First of all, we worked very closely with people that	23	A. Yes.
24		are employees of the City, and there are a whole host	24	Q. And when did you start interacting with them?
25		of employees that we interacted with as we conducted	25	A. I don't know what the specific date would have been.

Page 33 Page 35 1 It would have been somewhere between January and 1 teams. 2 April. 2 Q. Can you give me a sense, if you know, of about the Q. Okay. And tell me what the -- tell me how your two 3 3 approximate amount of time spent by Conway MacKenzie 4 with the Manhattan Institute? 4 firms worked together. 5 A. Sure. Conway MacKenzie is tasked with preparing a 5 A. I don't know. Q. Do you know if it's in the hundreds of hours or is it 6 comprehensive restructuring plan, operational 6 7 restructuring plan, police is obviously a very 7 materially more or less than that? 8 8 A. I couldn't even hazard a quess. important department of the City. 9 As you have pointed out, Conway MacKenzie 9 Q. They were working under a contract during that January 10 does not have resident policing expertise within our 10 to June time period, is that correct? 11 firm; however, a significant amount of the activities 11 A. Yes. 12 that, and deficiencies, if you will, that were 12 Q. Do you remember the amount of their contract? 13 identified with the department relate to 13 A. I don't know. 14 Q. Do you know the amount of their contract after it was 14 organizational effectiveness. 15 15 And so Conway MacKenzie would have renegotiated in June? 16 interacted with Manhattan Institute. Where Manhattan 16 A. I think it was somewhere between 500,000 and 750,000 17 Institute has very specific policing and policy type 17 if I recall correctly, but I don't have the precise 18 number, so that is a -- a rough guess. 18 of expertise, Conway MacKenzie brings the 19 organizational expertise, and together we jointly 19 Q. Is it your understanding that that represented an 20 20 increase in the amount that they would be paid as prepared a comprehensive restructuring plan for the 21 compared to the prior contract? 21 department. 22 A. I don't know. 22 Q. In terms of actual day-to-day work, were the Manhattan 23 Institute people attending interviews and onsite in 23 Q. Between January and June did Conway MacKenzie liaise 24 the Detroit Police Department with the Conway 24 with a fire expert that's similar to the Manhattan 25 25 Institute as a police expert? MacKenzie people? Page 36 Page 34 1 A. In the initial time period that you mention, that 1 A. Possibly. interaction was more limited, then around June or 2 Q. Did you? 2 3 3 A. I myself did not. thereabouts there was a new contract with the Q. To your knowledge did anyone at Conway MacKenzie do 4 Manhattan Institute and the Bratton Group together 4 5 where that interaction became very, very frequent. 5 A. That's where I'm not sure. Eventually the City Q. So I'm going to try and characterize the interactions 6 6 7 prior to that point, and it's tough because I don't 7 engaged a fire expert similar to Bratton and Manhattan 8 know what they were, but I want to try and telescope 8 on the police side. That occurred after the time 9 9 this without asking who did you talk to and what did period, after June 14th. 10 you say type questions. 10 Q. In fact, didn't that just occur in October? 11 Is it fair to say that in that time frame 11 A. I believe that's correct, October of this year, yes. 12 identified earlier, January to June 14, 2013, that the 12 Did Conway MacKenzie liaise with a blight remediation 13 Manhattan Institute was principally teaching Conway 13 expert during that January to June 2013 time period? 14 14 A. Conway MacKenzie interacted with a number of people MacKenzie about best practices in the policing 15 15 that had been involved in blight remediation industry and Conway MacKenzie was using that 16 activities, yes. information as it assessed the Police Department? 16 17 A. I don't think that that's an accurate 17 Q. Were they people that had been formally retained by 18 characterization. I don't think that the Manhattan 18 the City to provide services? Institute was teaching Conway MacKenzie. We had 19 A. In some instances those were City employees. In other 19 20 developed teams with at that time interim Chief Logan 20 instances they were outside groups that were that were focused on specific areas in the department, 21 21 undertaking blight removal efforts. 22 and depending on which area, to the extent that there 22 Q. And those are outside groups that are local in the 23 needed to be an additional resource besides just 23 24 24 A. Yes. I did interact with some resources that were Conway MacKenzie, Manhattan Institute may have 25 25 participated in those, we call them subcommittee involved with those groups that actually came from

EXHIBIT B



MANHATTAN INSTITUTE

POLICY RESEARCH The mission of the Manhattan Institute is to develop and disseminate new ideas that foster greater economic choice and individual responsibility. go

AROLITMI CENTERS ISSUES CITY JOURNAL EXPERTS PUBLICATIONS MULTIMEDIA EVENTS SUPPORTING MI HOME



HOL TOPICS more topics >> Ten Things You Need To Know **About Turkey** Claire Berlinski writes on FoxNews.com Does Dave Camp Hate Mark

Zuckerberg? Howard Husock writes on

Forbes.com





THE OBAMACARE IMPACT MAP



Detroit: The Next American City of

Panelists: Honorable Rick Snyder, Governor,

State of Michigan; Kevyn Orr, Emergency

Manager, City of Detroit; Daniel DiSalvo,

MI WEBSITES













CITY IOURNAL

subscribe >>

a cutting-edge quarterly magazine on culture, politics, and urban affairs.



STEFAN KANFER Father of the Wild Things Maurice Sendak and the "awful vulnerability of children"



CHARLES UPTON SAHM **Broken and Divided** Rebuilding Venezuelan society won't be easy.

PODCASTS

Manhattan Institute

March 24, 2014

Opportunity

VIDEOS

ECONOMICS 21

Matthew Hennessey and Jared Meyer, policy analyst at Economics21, discuss movie tax credits.

ECONOMY

Paul Beston and City Journal Senior Editor, Steven Malanga, discuss his article from the City Journal Winter 2014 issue, "The State Tax Grab."

RECENT REPORTS



The Effect of Co-Locations on Student Achievement in NYC



Should Charter Schools Pay Rent?: Implications for



Where The Jobs Are: Small Businesses Unleash Energy